Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/790,928	EGGERT ET	AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	David D. Le	3681	8
All Participants:	Status of App	lication:	
(1) <u>David D. Le</u> .	(3)		•
(2) Alexander R. Schlee.	(4)		
Date of Interview: 9 June 2006	Time:		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:] Applicant's representativ	e)	
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: None			
Claims discussed: 3 and 16			·
Prior art documents discussed: None			
Part II.			,
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE See Continuation Sheet	E GENERAL NATURE OF	WHAT WAS DISCUSSED	:
Part III.			
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sed directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sed did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief 	tion. The examiner will preparate record of the subs	ovide a written summary of stance of the interview, sind	f the substance
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (A	applicant/Applicant's Repr	esentative Signature – if ap	propriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicants' attorney authorizes examiner to amend the instant application as follows:

Claim 3:

Line 4, "either one of the 2" has been amended as --either one of the two--.

Claim 16:

Line 3, "gears on both shafts and an intermediate gear" has been amended as --gears on both intermediate shaft and input shaft and an intermediate gear--.